Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

NWT Pasture WQ, Irr. Water/Wildlife/ Plant Health

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: NWT Pasture WQ, Irr. Water/Wildlife/ Plant Health		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	Yes O or No O
Water Quality Degradation – Will the proposed project improve water quality by: (select all that apply)	
2. a. Implementing the practices in a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP)?	Yes O or No O
2. b. Implementing the practices in a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP)?	Yes O or No O
2. c. Reducing impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides on land adjoining a designated "impaired water body" (TMDL, 303d listed waterbody, or other State designation)?	
2. d. Reducing the impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides in a "non-impaired water body"?	Yes O or No O
2. e. Implementing practices that improve water quality through animal mortality and carcass management?	Yes O or No O
Water Conservation – Will the proposed project conserve water by: (select all that apply)	
3. a. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce aquifer overdraft.	Yes O or No O
3. b. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce on-farm water use?	Yes O or No O
3. c.Implementing practices in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	Yes O or No O
3. d. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm water use as a result of changing to crops with lower water consumptive use, the rotation of crops, or the modification of cultural operations?	Yes O or No O
Air Quality - Will the proposed project improve air quality by: (select all that apply)	
4. a. Meeting on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes O or No O
4. b. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm emissions of particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10)?	Yes O or No O
4. c.Implementing practices that reduce on-farm generated greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O)?	Yes O or No O
4. d. Implementing practices that increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	
Soil Health:- Will the proposed project improve soil health by: (select all that apply)	
5. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	
5. b.Increasing organic matter and carbon content, and improving soil tilth and structure?	
Wildlife Habitat – Will the proposed project improve wildlife habitat by: (select all that apply)	
a. Implementing practices benefitting threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern.	Yes O or No O

6. b. Implementing practices that retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) or other set-aside program?	Yes O or No O
6. c. Implementing practices benefitting honey bee populations or other pollinators?	Yes O or No O
6. d. Implementing land-based practices that improve habitat for aquatic wildlife?	Yes O or No O
Plant and Animal Communities: Will the proposed project improve plant and animal communities by: (select all that apply)	
7. a. Implementing practices that result in the management control of noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	Yes O or No O
7. b. Implementing practice in an Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM)?	Yes O or No O
Energy Conservation—Will the proposed project reduce energy use by: (select all that apply)	
8. a. Reducing on-farm energy consumption?	Yes O or No O
8. b. Implementing practice(s) identified in an approved AgEMP or energy audit, which meet ASABE S612 criteria?	Yes O or No O
Business Lines – Will the practices to be scheduled in the "EQIP Plan of Operations" result in:	
9. a. Enhancement of existing conservation practice(s) or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is received?	Yes O or No O

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1 will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the state priority category.	
1. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other state level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	Yes O or No O
Non CAP questions:	
1. Will 3 or more SWAPA elements be treated through this EQIP contract?	Yes O or No O
2. Will all contracted practices be management, vegetative or non-engineering type practices, or if engineering practices are included will the participant supply the engineering design certified by a licensed professional engineer?(This includes producer-selected TSP designs)	Yes O or No O
3. Will the initial contract length be limited to 3 years or less?	Yes O or No O
4. Will contracted practices assist the producer in complying with AFO/CFO, Tribal or Forest Practices Act laws and regulations, or the Food Quality Protection Act?	Yes O or No O
5. Do the practices treat a Tribal resource concern according to the TRA?	Yes O or No O
6. Are the practices on land adjacent to Tribal lands, or in a shared watershed? If so, are the practices compatible with activities on the Tribal lands according to the TRA?	Yes O or No O
7. Does the applicant have an existing RMS plan for the proposed contract area?	Yes O or No O

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1 will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the state priority category.	
1. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other state level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	Yes O or No O
Eligible practices for each resource concern:	
10. WATER QUALITY DEGRADATION - Excess nutrients in surface and ground waters Access Control (472) Fence (382) Nutrient Management (590) Pipeline (516) Prescribed Grazing (528) Riparian Forest Buffer (391) Roof Runoff Structure (558) Silvopasture (381) Water Well (642) Water Well	Yes O or No O

Aquatic Organism Passage (396) Channel Bed Stabilization (584) Conservation Cover (327) Cover Crop (340) Critical Area Planting (342) Dike (356) Early Successional Habitat Development and Management	
(647) Fence (382) Field Border (386) Filter Strip (393) Fish and Wildlife Structure (734) Fish-Wildlife CAP (142) Forage Harvest Management (511) Grade Stabilization Structure (410) Hedgerow Planting	
(422) Herbaceous Weed Control (315) Integrated Pest Management (595) Mulching (484) Nutrient Management (590) Obstruction Removal (500) Open Channel (582) Pipeline (516) Pollinator CAP (146)	
Pond (378) Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant (521C) Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay	
Treatment (521D) Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane (521A) Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant (521B) Prescribed Grazing (528)	
12. INADEQUATE HABITAT FOR FISH AND WILDLIFE - Habitat degradation (continued) Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (643) Riparian Forest Buffer (391) Riparian Herbaceous Cover (390) Shallow Water Development and Management (646) Silvopasture (381) Stream Crossing (578) Stream Habitat Improvement (395) Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580) Structure for Water Control (587) Tree & Shrub Establishment (612) Tree & Shrub Site Preparation (490) Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645) Vegetated Treatment Area (635) Watering Facility (614) Wetland Enhancement (659) Wetland Restoration (657) Wetland Wildlife Management (644) Windbreak/Shelterbelt Est. (380) Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation (650)	Yes O or No O
13. EXCESS/INSUFFICIENT WATER - Inefficient use of irrigation water Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548) Irrigation Land Leveling (464) Irrigation Pipeline (430) Irrigation System, Microirrigation (441) Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442) Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface (443) Irrigation Water Management (449) Irrigation Water Management CAP (118) Pumping Plant (533) Structure for Water Control (587) Windbreak/Shelterbelt Est. (380) Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation (650)	Yes O or No O
14. DEGRADED PLANT CONDITION - Undesirable plant productivity and health Access Control (472) Animal Trail or Walkway (575) Brush Management (314) Fence (382) Forage and Biomass Planting (512) Forage Harvest Management (511) Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548) Grazing Management CAP (110) Herbaceous Weed Control (315) Nutrient Management (590) Pipeline (516) Prescribed Grazing (528) Tree & Shrub Site Preparation (490) Water Well (642) Watering Facility (614)	Yes O or No O
1. Will irrigation efficiency be improved (choose one):	
^	Yes O or No O
Č	Yes O or No O
2. Will a riparian buffer be installed (choose one):	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes O or No O
5	Yes O or No O
2 a to TN14 standards on one adds of one aliable field on 500 feet which are is more? 50	Yes O or No O
<u> </u>	
2. d to TN14 standards on all enrolled eligible fields? 100	Yes O or No O
2. d to TN14 standards on all enrolled eligible fields? 100 3. Will an herbaceous vegetative buffer be installed to a minimum width of 35 feet? (choose one):	Yes O or No O
2. d to TN14 standards on all enrolled eligible fields? 100 3. Will an herbaceous vegetative buffer be installed to a minimum width of 35 feet? (choose one): 3. a on one edge of one eligible field or 500 feet, whichever is more? 15	Yes O or No O Yes O or No O
2. d to TN14 standards on all enrolled eligible fields? 100 3. Will an herbaceous vegetative buffer be installed to a minimum width of 35 feet? (choose one): 3. a on one edge of one eligible field or 500 feet, whichever is more? 15 3. b on all enrolled eligible fields? 40	Yes O or No O
2. d to TN14 standards on all enrolled eligible fields? 100 3. Will an herbaceous vegetative buffer be installed to a minimum width of 35 feet? (choose one): 3. a on one edge of one eligible field or 500 feet, whichever is more? 15 3. b on all enrolled eligible fields? 40 Questions 4 - 6	Yes O or No O Yes O or No O Yes O or No O
2. d to TN14 standards on all enrolled eligible fields? 100 3. Will an herbaceous vegetative buffer be installed to a minimum width of 35 feet? (choose one): 3. a on one edge of one eligible field or 500 feet, whichever is more? 15 3. b on all enrolled eligible fields? 40 Questions 4 - 6 4. 4 Will risk to ground water be reduced (e.g., Heavy Use Area Protection, proper waste collection, storage, or nutrient management)? 30	Yes O or No O
2. d to TN14 standards on all enrolled eligible fields? 100 3. Will an herbaceous vegetative buffer be installed to a minimum width of 35 feet? (choose one): 3. a on one edge of one eligible field or 500 feet, whichever is more? 15 3. b on all enrolled eligible fields? 40 Questions 4 - 6 4. 4 Will risk to ground water be reduced (e.g., Heavy Use Area Protection, proper waste collection, storage, or nutrient management)? 30 5. 5 Will the source of contaminated runoff (sediment, pathogens, nutrients) to streams, wetlands, or near shore habitat be controlled/managed? 30	Yes O or No O
2. d to TN14 standards on all enrolled eligible fields? 100 3. Will an herbaceous vegetative buffer be installed to a minimum width of 35 feet? (choose one): 3. a on one edge of one eligible field or 500 feet, whichever is more? 15 3. b on all enrolled eligible fields? 40 Questions 4 - 6 4. 4 Will risk to ground water be reduced (e.g., Heavy Use Area Protection, proper waste collection, storage, or nutrient management)? 30 5. 5 Will the source of contaminated runoff (sediment, pathogens, nutrients) to streams, wetlands, or near shore habitat be controlled/managed? 30	Yes O or No O
2. d to TN14 standards on all enrolled eligible fields? 100 3. Will an herbaceous vegetative buffer be installed to a minimum width of 35 feet? (choose one): 3. a on one edge of one eligible field or 500 feet, whichever is more? 15 3. b on all enrolled eligible fields? 40 Questions 4 - 6 4. 4 Will risk to ground water be reduced (e.g., Heavy Use Area Protection, proper waste collection, storage, or nutrient management)? 30 5. 5 Will the source of contaminated runoff (sediment, pathogens, nutrients) to streams, wetlands, or near shore habitat be controlled/managed? 30 6. 6 Will an existing waste storage facility be upgraded to current NRCS standards or decommissioned?	Yes O or No O
2. d to TN14 standards on all enrolled eligible fields? 100 3. Will an herbaceous vegetative buffer be installed to a minimum width of 35 feet? (choose one): 3. a on one edge of one eligible field or 500 feet, whichever is more? 15 3. b on all enrolled eligible fields? 40 Questions 4 - 6 4. 4 Will risk to ground water be reduced (e.g., Heavy Use Area Protection, proper waste collection, storage, or nutrient management)? 30 5. 5 Will the source of contaminated runoff (sediment, pathogens, nutrients) to streams, wetlands, or near shore habitat be controlled/managed? 30 6. 6 Will an existing waste storage facility be upgraded to current NRCS standards or decommissioned? 80 7. Will wildlife habitat be improved (e.g., hedgerow plantings, riparian forest buffer, channel vegetation, windbreak/shelterbelts, or fish passage) (choose one):	Yes O or No O
2. d to TN14 standards on all enrolled eligible fields? 100 3. Will an herbaceous vegetative buffer be installed to a minimum width of 35 feet? (choose one): 3. a on one edge of one eligible field or 500 feet, whichever is more? 15 3. b on all enrolled eligible fields? 40 Questions 4 - 6 4. 4 Will risk to ground water be reduced (e.g., Heavy Use Area Protection, proper waste collection, storage, or nutrient management)? 30 5. 5 Will the source of contaminated runoff (sediment, pathogens, nutrients) to streams, wetlands, or near shore habitat be controlled/managed? 30 6. 6 Will an existing waste storage facility be upgraded to current NRCS standards or decommissioned? 80 7. Will wildlife habitat be improved (e.g., hedgerow plantings, riparian forest buffer, channel vegetation, windbreak/shelterbelts, or fish passage) (choose one): 7. a for listed Threated and Endangered species? 65	Yes O or No O

8. 8 Have all of the engineering practices offered for this EQIP application already been designed and stamped by an engineer with a valid Washington State Professional Engineer Stamp? OR This EQIP application will not require specifications or designs that would require a valid Washington State Professional Engineer Stamp. 75	Yes O or No O
9. 9 Will barriers to fish passage on eligible lands be removed? 80	Yes O or No O

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
Ranking Score	
Efficiency:	
Local Issues:	
State Issues:	
National Issues:	
Final Ranking Score:	

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

	Applicant Signature Not Required on this report for Contract Development unless required by State policy:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: